# Phylogenetic Relationships and Biogeography of Xantusiid Lizards, Inferred from Mitochondrial DNA Sequences<sup>1</sup>

## S. Blair Hedges,\* Robert L. Bezy,† and Linda R. Maxson\*

\*Department of Biology and Institute of Molecular Evolutionary Genetics, Pennsylvania State University; and †Section of Herpetology, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County

Portions of two mitochondrial genes (12S ribosomal RNA and cytochrome b) were sequenced in seven species to examine phylogenetic relationships within the lizard family Xantusiidae. Phylogenies derived from these sequences (709 total bp) are concordant and indicate that the Cuban species Cricosaura typica is the sister group to all other xantusiids. The Middle American genus Lepidophyma is the closest relative of Xantusia, and X. riversiana (California Islands) the closest relative of a recent morphological analysis that united Cricosaura and Lepidophyma as closest relatives or with past studies that have recognized X. riversiana as a separate genus. Levels of sequence divergence, as well as the age and affinities of some mainland fossil taxa, suggest that the origin of Cricosaura was associated with the tectonic evolution of the Greater Antilles in the late Cretaceous. These results further demonstrate that significant resolution of phylogenies can be obtained with relatively short DNA sequences and that these mitochondrial genes are concordant in their estimation of phylogeny.

## Introduction

The ability to amplify and sequence DNA rapidly (Mullis et al. 1986; Saiki et al. 1988) and the existence of "universal" oligonucleotide (primer) sequences with utility across a broad taxonomic range (Kocher et al. 1989) are certain to have a major impact on systematics. Although universal primers are by no means limited to mitochondrial genes, most of the initial studies have utilized primers for the mitochondrial 12S ribosomal RNA (rRNA), cytochrome b genes, and the noncoding control region (Thomas et al. 1989, 1990; Meyer et al. 1990; Meyer and Wilson 1990; Smith and Patton 1991). They have illustrated how DNA sequence data can be generated rapidly from living, preserved, and fossil organisms to address a variety of systematic questions. The same approach is used in the present study to investigate the relationships and biogeography of the enigmatic lizard family Xantusiidae.

The Xantusiidae has been of special interest to reptilian systematics and biogeography because of both the uncertainty of its phylogenetic position among lizard families and its peculiar geographic distribution (fig. 1). Xantusiid lizards occur in three disjunct regions: western North America (*Xantusia*, four species), Middle America (*Lepidophyma*, 14 species), and Cuba (*Cricosaura*, one species). The Cuban species (*C. typica*) is of particular interest, as it represents a biogeographic anomaly. It is the

1. Key words: Reptilia, Sauria, phylogeny, cytochrome b, 12S rRNA, Cuba, biogeography.

Address for correspondence and reprints: S. Blair Hedges, Department of Biology, 208 Mueller Lab, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802.

Mol. Biol. Evol. 8(6):767-780. 1991.

© 1991 by The University of Chicago. All rights reserved. 0737-4038/91/0806-0003\$02.00



FIG. 1.—Distributions of xantusiid lizards. Localities of all three extant taxa are indicated by letters:  $b = Xantusia \ bolsonae$ ; h = X. henshawi; r = X. riversiana; v = X. vigilis;  $s = Lepidophyma \ smithii$ ; and  $t = Cricosaura \ typica$ .

only xantusiid lizard in the West Indies, yet it occurs in a remote and isolated area of eastern Cuba, far removed from the nearest mainland representatives of the family. No xantusiids occur in western Cuba, the Cayman Islands, or Jamaica, areas that are closer to the mainland.

The phylogenetic relationships among xantusiids have been examined using evidence from chromosomes (Bezy 1972), allozymes (Bezy and Sites 1987), and morphology (Peterson and Bezy 1985; Crother et al. 1986; Bezy and Peterson 1988). However, the chromosome and allozyme analyses were hindered by the inability to obtain live specimens of *C. typica*, and the morphological analyses were impeded by the small number of characters that were informative under the conditions of parsimony. Here we present an analysis of mitochondrial DNA sequences that challenges recent hypotheses of xantusiid phylogeny based on nonmolecular data, provides the first robust estimate of intergeneric relationships in this family, and has implications for current models of Caribbean biogeography.

## Methods

DNA was extracted from small amounts (<50 mg) of liver or intestine from the following species of xantusiid lizards: *Cricosaura typica* (National Museum of Natural History, USNM, 306539; Cuba: Santiago de Cuba: 2.8 km N Uvero), *Lepidophyma smithii* (Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, LACM, 136359; Mexico: Chiapas: 2.5 mi NE Acacoyagua), *Xantusia bolsonae* (LACM 138478; Mexico: Dur-

1.

ango: 3.2 mi SW Chocolate), X. henshawi (LACM 136789; California: Riverside Co.: 4.7 mi SE Banning), X. riversiana (LACM 125513; California: Ventura Co.: San Nicolas Island), and X. vigilis (LACM 136813; California: San Bernardino Co.: Hesperia). The teiid lizard Ameiva auberi (USNM 306540; Cuba: Guantánamo Prov.: 8.9 km SW Hatibonico) was used to root the phylogenetic trees. In the absence of a current consensus regarding the sister group of the Xantusiidae (Estes et al. 1988; Presch 1988; Schwenk 1988), we use a member of the Teiidae to root the trees, as it is clearly an outgroup to the Xantusiidae and is a member of what may be one of the closest lineages (Estes et al. 1988).

The tissue was minced and placed in 500  $\mu$ l of extraction buffer [100 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetate (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.5  $\mu$ g proteinase K/ml; Kocher et al. 1989) for 2 h at 37°C, with slight agitation. Purification was by phenol (twice) and chloroform (once) extractions followed by ethanol precipitation. The DNA precipitate was spindried under vacuum and was resuspended in 10  $\mu$ l sterile distilled H<sub>2</sub>O. One microliter of a 1:1,000 dilution of the extracted DNA was used as a template for the doublestranded polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) amplification, which was performed in 25 µl of a solution containing 67 mM Tris (pH 8.8), 6.7 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 16.6 mM  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, each dNTP at 0.1 mM, each primer at 1  $\mu$ m, and 0.5 units of AmpliTaq (Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase; Perkin Elmer-Cetus) and capped with 1 drop of mineral oil. A negative-control tube (no template) was included with each run. After 30 cycles (93°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min, 72°C for 2.5 min), 10  $\mu$ l of the amplified reaction mix was purified in a 2% low-meltingtemperature agarose (NuSieve; FMC) gel (0.89 M Tris, 0.89 M boric acid, and 0.002 M ethylenediaminetetraacetate); a single core was removed from each band (stained with ethidium bromide) with a sterile pasteur pipette, and the band core was melted in 1 ml of sterile distilled H<sub>2</sub>O at 93°C for 5 min. A second PCR amplification with one primer reduced to  $\frac{1}{100}$  of its original concentration (Gyllensten and Erlich 1988) was used to generate single-stranded DNA by using 1  $\mu$ l of the diluted band melt as the template (30–35 cycles; 93°C/60°C/72°C; 50-µl vol.). The single-stranded product was purified with three passes in a 100,000-MW filter (Millipore), and the final volume was adjusted, by centrifugation, to  $7 \mu l$  for dideoxy sequencing (Sanger et al. 1977).

Two segments of the mitochondrial genome were sequenced: a 307-bp region of the cytochrome b gene (primers 5'-AAAAAGCTTCCATCCAACATCTCAGCAT-GATGAAA-3' and 5'-AAACTGCAGCCCCTCAGAATGATATTTGTCCTCA-3') and an. ~400-bp region of the 12S rRNA gene (primers 5'-AAAAAGCTT-CAAACTGGGATTAGATACCCCACTAT-3' and 5'-TGACTGCAGAGGGGT-GACGGGCGGTGTGT-3') (Kocher et al. 1989). In each case, both complementary strands were sequenced using the two PCR primers as sequencing primers in separate reactions. For each reaction, the limiting primer in the second (single-stranded) amplification was used as the sequencing primer. A modified form of T7 DNA polymerase was used in the Sequenase (United States Biochemical) protocol, with the following changes: the annealing mix was heated at 90°C (for 6 min), cooled at room temperature (for 1 min), combined with label reaction mix (4°C), and then added to termination mix and incubated at 37°C (for 3 min). Sequenced products were separated on two (3 and 7 hr) 6% polyacrylamide wedge gels.

Sequence data were read from autoradiograms by using a digitizing program (S. W. Schaeffer, Penn State University), and alignments were done by eye by using the multisequence editing program ESEE (Cabot and Beckenbach 1989). Because the

ratio of the number of characters to the number of taxa is large, a phenetic analysis (Sokal 1985) was not used. Nucleotide sequence and length variation were analyzed by the maximum-parsimony (MP) method (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, version 3.0; D. L. Swofford, Illinois Natural History Survey, Urbana). Every length difference of one or more bases was scored as a single event with two states (insertion or deletion); sequences within the inserted region then were analyzed normally, with the deleted bases scored as ambiguities (i.e., missing information). This was done so that informative sequence variation within an insertion could be utilized. Each consistency index (CI) is based on sites that are informative under the conditions of parsimony (i.e., those sites with at least two bases, each occurring in more than one taxon). A distance matrix of sequence divergence (Jukes and Cantor 1969) among the taxa was analyzed by the neighbor-joining (NJ) method (Saitou and Nei 1987, version 2.0; Studier and Keppler 1988). The statistical significance of the groups in both analyses was evaluated by the bootstrap method (Felsenstein 1985), with 1,000 iterations. The programs PSFIND and NJBOOT (T. S. Whittam, Penn State University) were used with the NJ analyses to find polymorphic sites and to obtain bootstrap confidence limits, respectively.

#### Results

For the 12S rRNA segment (fig. 2), there are 402 total aligned sites, 208 of which are variable (94 are informative under the conditions of parsimony). For the cytochrome b segment (fig. 3), there are 307 total aligned sites, 157 of which are variable (74 are informative under the conditions of parsimony). In cytochrome b, 43/99 amino acid positions are variable, nine of which are different only in the outgroup (*Ameiva*). The number of transitions and transversions for all pairwise comparisons are in table 1, and, for both genes, percent transitions is plotted against percent sequence divergence, in figure 4.

The region of cytochrome b sequenced lies almost entirely between the two highly conserved domains believed to be important in the function of the protein (Howell 1989), and therefore little can be gleaned from the distribution of variable amino acid positions. However, the two histidine positions involved in heme ligation are unvaried (fig. 3), as predicted by the structural model (Howell and Gilbert 1988). The total number of variable sites is sufficient to result in distances with relatively low error estimates (Martin et al. 1990).

The MP and NJ analyses of the 12S rRNA data produced identical topologies (fig. 5, top). The CI for the 12S rRNA MP tree is 0.85. The MP (CI 0.77) and NJ analyses of the cytochrome b data also produced identical topologies (fig. 5, middle), although (a) the confidence limits were lower and (b) the position of Xantusia bolsonae differs from its position in the 12S rRNA trees. In contrast to the 12S rRNA analyses, the highest confidence limits in the cytochrome b analyses were associated with close relationships (X. riversiana and X. vigilis). The combined analysis (12S rRNA and cytochrome b) yielded MP (CI 0.81) and NJ trees that have highly significant confidence limits on three of the four nodes (fig. 5, bottom) and that differ only in the position of X. bolsonae.

An ongoing study of amniote phylogeny (S. B. Hedges and L. R. Maxson, unpublished data) provided the opportunity to examine the effect that outgroup had on xantusiid relationships at 12S rRNA. Sequences from two other lizard families suggested as possible sister groups or members of sister groups to the Xantusiidae (Presch 1988; Schwenk 1988), the Gekkonidae (*Gekko*) and Scincidae (*Eumeces*), were used as

## Phylogenetic Relationships/Biogeography of Xantusiids 771

Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$ \begin{array}{c} GCTTAGAAGTTAACCACGACAAGCCCTATAACAA-CTTGTCCGCCAGAGGATTACGGGTG \\ & \circ C & \circ A \diamond \circ A & A & A & A & C \diamond C & \circ C & \circ G & C & C & \circ G & C & C & \circ G & C & C & C & A & A & A & A & A & A & C & G & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & A & A & A & A & C & A & A & C & A & A & C & A & A & C & C & A & C & A & C & A & C & A & C & A & C & A & C & C & A & C & C & A & C & A & C & C & A & C & C & A & A & C & C & A & C & C & A & C & A & C & C & A & C & C & C & C & C & A & C$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{c} CGTCCTTCTTTCGC-TTACCTTTTGAAAGACAAA-CAGTAAGCCCAATAGTTTCAACAAC\\ \cdots GCCAG \cdots TA \cdot C \cdots - \cdots GAC \cdots TA \cdot \cdots A \cdots C \cdot C \cdot TT \cdot - \cdots \\ \cdot \cdot GCCAGCC \cdot A \cdot CC \cdots C \cdot G \cdot CAC \cdot T \cdot \cdot G \cdot A \cdot \cdot C \cdot CACACG \cdot G \cdot G \\ \cdot \cdot A \cdot CAGCATA \cdot C \cdot G \cdot \cdot GG \cdot GGT \cdot G \cdot A \cdots AA \cdot CT \cdot CAAAC \cdots \\ \cdot \cdot ACCAGCCTA \cdot A \cdot C \cdot G \cdot TA \cdot \cdot A \cdots AA \cdot AT \cdot CAAAC \cdots \\ \cdot \cdot ACCAGCCTA \cdot C \cdot \cdot G \cdot CAC \cdot A \cdots AA \cdot AT \cdot CAAAC \cdots \\ \cdot \cdot ACCAGCCTA \cdot C \cdot \cdot G \cdot CAC \cdot A \cdots AA \cdot CT \cdot CAAAC \cdots \\ - \cdot ACCAGCCTA \cdot C \cdot \cdot G \cdot CAC \cdot A \cdots AA \cdot CT \cdot CAAAC \cdots \\$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{c} CAGAACATCTACGGAAAGTATTCTGAAACAAAATACACAAAAGGCGGATTTAGTAGTAG\\ T\cdotTT\cdotATAAA\cdot\cdotsTTA\cdotG\cdotAA\cdot\cdotsC\cdotC\cdot\cdotsGA\cdotG-\cdots\cdotsC\cdot\cdots-A\\ AC\cdotCAG-GA\cdot\cdotA\cdotG\cdotG\cdotCCCCA\cdot\cdotsACTG\cdotGC\cdotGG\cdot\cdots\cdotsT\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotT\cdotG\cdotG\cdot\cdotsAC\cdotA\cdot\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC-C\cdotTG\cdot\cdots\cdotT\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotT\cdotCG\cdotGC\cdotA\cdot\cdotA\cdotC\cdotG\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotCC\cdotC\cdotA\cdot\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotT\cdotCGC\cdot\cdotsC\cdotGC\cdotA\cdot\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotTA\cdot\cdotsC\cdotC\cdotAAA\\ \cdots\cdotT\cdotCGC\cdot\cdotsC\cdotGC\cdotA\cdot\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotA\cdotA\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotAA\\ \cdots\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC\cdotC$
Amei Cric Lepi Xbol Xhen Xvig Xriv	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{CAAACTAGAGTGTTGACT} - \texttt{AACA} - \texttt{GCTCT} - \texttt{GGACATGTAC} \\ \texttt{G} \cdot \texttt{TTAA} \cdot \texttt{ATA} \cdot \texttt{AC} \cdot \texttt{AT} & \cdots & \texttt{G} & \cdots & \texttt{G} & \texttt{o} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{o} \\ \cdot \texttt{G} \cdot \texttt{TA} & \cdot \texttt{CCACCTG} & \cdot \texttt{TG} & \cdot \texttt{GCAT} & \cdot \texttt{C} & \cdots & \texttt{G} & \texttt{o} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} & \texttt{CTG} & \cdot \texttt{GCAT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \bullet \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{A} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} \cdot \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{GCAT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \bullet \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{A} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{TT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{A} & \cdot \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{TT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{A} & \cdot \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{TT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{A} & \cdot \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{TT} & \cdots & \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{AG} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{c} \\ \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{A} & \cdot \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{T} & \cdots & \texttt{T} \\ \texttt{T} & \texttt{T} &$

FIG. 2.—DNA sequences of portion of 12S rRNA gene (corresponding to sites 1092–1477 in human; Anderson et al. 1981) in seven taxa of lizards. A solid dot ( $\cdot$ ) denotes identity with the first sequence; a dash (–) denotes a gap; and a small circle ( $\bigcirc$ ) denotes an ambiguity.

outgroups in place of the Teiidae, resulting in relationships that were identical to those in fig. 5 (top) and that had statistically significant nodes.

## Discussion

Molecular Evolution

The pattern of nucleotide substitution among the cytochrome b sequences is similar to that observed in previous studies: synonymous differences are more numerous

AC LX XX XX	mei ric epi bol hen vig riv	H00000··I	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{CTC} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T} \end{array}$	GGCCCCCC ···CCCCC	TCA ••G ••C ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• •••	CTT · · C A · C · · A · · C · · A A · C	CTC ••A ••T ••A ••A T•A T•A	GG• ••T •CA •CA •TA •TA	CTA A·· A·· T·· T·· +	TCC ·G· ·GT ·GT ·GT	TTA C·· C·· C·· C··	ATT G C C C C C	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm GTA} \\ {\rm ACC} \\ {\rm A} \cdot {\rm C} \\ {\rm A} \cdot {\rm T} \\ {\rm ACT} \\ {\rm A} \cdot {\rm C} \\ {\rm A} \cdot {\rm C} \\ {\rm} \end{array}$	GAA C·· C·· C·· C·G C·G	ATC ••• T ••• T ••• T ••• •	CGA G·· ACG ·TT TTC TTC TTT	ACA ••G ••GC ••• ••• •••
ACLXXXX	mei ric bol hen vig riv		GGA •A• •C •G •	CTA ••• G ••• T ••• C +	TTT • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CTA ··C ··T ··T	G••• TCA ••A •CA •C-	ATA	CAC	TAC ••• T ••• T ••• T	ACA	GCA	GAC ··T ··T	ACC • T • • T • • TT • TT • TT • TT +	TCA G·C A·C AT· A·C A·C	TTA • CC • CC • C • • C • • C •	GCT         
AC LX XX XX	mei ric epi bol hen vig riv		TTT ••C ••C ••C	TCA ••T A••	TCC ••A ••A ••A ••A ••A ••A ••A	GTA ••T A•C ••• ••• T	GCT ··A ATC ATC ·TC ·TC	AAC C·· C·· C·· C·T C·T	ATT ••C ••C ••C ••C ••C +	TGT ACC · CC · CC · · C · · C	CGA ••T •••	GAT C C C -+-	GTC   	CAA	TAT C·C ··C ··C ··C	GGC ··A ··T ··T	TGA   136
AC LX XX XX	mei ric bol hen vig riv		CTC ••A ••A ••A ••A ••A	CTC A·T A·T A·· A·· A·· A··	CGA ••• T ••••	ACT ·AC ·AC ·AC ·AC ·AC ·G·	ATA C·CT ··T ··T ··C ··C	CAC ••T ••T ••• ••T ***	GCC ••• A ••••	AAC       	GGT ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• G	GCC ••T ••• ••T ••• ••T	TCA	ATA C·T G·C ··T ··C +	TTC  T T 	TTC ••T ••• ••• ••• •••	ATC ··T ··· ··· 181
ACLXXXX	mei ric bol hen vig riv		TGC • CA • • • • • • •	$\begin{array}{c} ATC \\ T \cdot G \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ T \cdot A \\ C \cdot G \\ T \cdot A \\ \end{array}$	TAT •••C •••C •••C •••C •••C	CTC ••A ••T ••A A•A T•A ••A	CAC	ATC   G.T T	GGA • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C	CGA ••G •••	GGA • · C • · G • · C • · C	CTT ··G ··C ··G ··G ··G ··A	TAT · · C · · C · · C · · C · · C	TAC • T • • • T	GGC ··A ··· ··T ··· +	TCA •• G •• C •• C •• C	TAT ••• ••• ••• ••• 226
A C L X X X X X	mei ric bol hen vig riv		$\begin{array}{c} TTC \\ A \cdot A \\ C \cdot A \\ A \cdot A \\ A \cdot G \\ A \cdot G \\ A \cdot G \\ A \cdot G \\ \end{array}$	CAC TTT T · · T · T T · · T · · T · ·	AAA • CC • CT • C • C • C • C	GAA ATC ATC ATC ATC ATC	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCT} \\ \text{A} \cdot \text{A} \\ \text{A} - \text{A} \end{array}$	TGA  	AAT · · C · · C · · C · · C · · C	ATT • C • • • • C • • • C	GGG ••• ••T ••A ••T ••T	GTG ••A ••C ••A ••A ••A ••A	GTC · · A A · · A · T A · T A · T 	TTA  C	CTT ••A ••G ••A ••A ••A ••A	TTC C·A C·· C·· C·· T·· T·· T	TTA C·T C·· C·· 271
A C L X X X X X X	mei ric bol hen vig riv		CTC AC · G · · G · T G · · G · ·	ΔΤΑ • · G • · · · • · ·	GCA ••C ••• ••• •••	ACA	GCC ••T ••• •••	TTT   	ATG G·T G·A G·A G· G· A	GGT  	TAT AC•	ATC • A • • A T• • G • A • • •	CTA • · G • · · · • · ·	CCA ••G •••			

FIG. 3.—DNA sequences of portion of cytochrome b gene (corresponding to sites 14842-15148 in human) in seven taxa of lizards. Symbols are as in fig. 2. Asterisks (\*) denote the two unvaried histidine positions involved in heme ligation (Howell and Gilbert 1988).

than nonsynonymous differences (Brown et al. 1982; Brown 1985; Wilson et al. 1985; Meyer et al. 1990; Meyer and Wilson 1990; Smith and Patton 1991). In both 12SrRNA and cytochrome b, transitions exceed transversions at low levels of sequence divergence but are in relatively equal frequencies at high levels of divergence (fig. 5). This finding also agrees with previous studies and reflects the initially high transition bias of mitochondrial DNA, which gradually decreases over time as transversions accumulate (Brown et al. 1982; Brown 1985; Hixson and Brown 1986; DeSalle et al. 1987). The

Phylogenetic Relationships/Biogeography of Xantusiids 773



FIG. 4.--% Transitions vs. % sequence divergence, in seven taxa of lizards

presence of this transition bias in sequence comparisons has been used to assess the adequacy of those sequences for phylogenetic analysis. Comparisons showing no abundance of transitions suggest that a plateau has been reached (usually 40%-45% transitions) whereby multiple substitutions are occurring at the same site (multiple hits), thus limiting their usefulness in estimating phylogeny (Brown et al. 1982; Thomas et al. 1989).

In the present study, a transition bias is evident in most of the ingroup comparisons (table 1 and fig. 4), suggesting that these sequence data, in general, have not been adversely affected by multiple hits. However, we refrain from making any divergencetime estimate based on percent transitions and percent divergence, for two reasons. First, the mechanisms responsible for the transition bias appear to be different in RNA genes (rRNA and tRNA) versus protein-coding genes (Brown 1985; Hedges et al. 1990). Second, the substitution rate varies among nonsynonymous sites, within and among protein-coding genes, between the rRNA genes, and between regions (stems vs. loops) of those genes (Mindell and Honeycutt 1990). These factors combined would indicate that there is no reason to expect a universal transition or sequence-divergence curve in mitochondrial DNA, except perhaps with regard to synonymous sites (Ochman and Wilson 1987). Synonymous sites become saturated very quickly (Brown et al. 1982), but then the rate at which nonsynonymous sites become saturated will vary depending on the gene and region within the gene, because of different functional constraints.

Often some highly variable regions within a gene (especially rRNA) that are alignable among closely related populations become unalignable—and therefore must be omitted from the analysis—when more divergent populations or taxa are compared (e.g., see Hedges et al. 1990; Meyer and Wilson 1990). In such cases, it is not valid to use the same molecular-clock calibration, because that calibration has changed with the deletion of those variable regions, even though the total segment sequenced is identical. Similarly, functionally constrained regions of low variability within cytochrome b (Howell 1989) indicate that clock calibrations for particular segments of this gene (such as the one sequenced in the present study) are applicable only to those segments. Finally, the substitution rate varies to some degree among different taxonomic groups (Mindell and Honeycutt 1990). Despite all of these caveats, the average



FIG. 5.—Relationships among six species of lizard family Xantusiidae, obtained by maximum-parsimony and neighbor-joining methods. *Ameiva auberi* (Teiidae) was used to root the trees. Numbers on trees indicate the percentage of bootstrapped trees supporting each node.

sequence divergence between Cricosaura and other xantusiid lizards—for both cytochrome b (28.8%) and 12SrRNA (36.5%)—is comparable to or greater than that observed between different orders of mammals (Primates, Rodentia, and Artiodactyla) believed to have diverged in the late Cretaceous: for regions sequenced in the present study, the average divergence between Homo sapiens (Anderson et al. 1981), Mus musculus (Bibb et al. 1981), and Bos taurus (Anderson et al. 1982) is 28.9% for cytochrome b and 21.2% for 12S rRNA. When only a conserved 12S rRNA fragment that corresponds to sites 1135–1275 in the human sequence (Anderson et al. 1981) and appears to show a relatively constant rate of change (Mindell and Honeycutt 1990) is considered, the average percent sequence divergence (16.4%) between Cricosaura and other xantusiids implies an unrealistically early divergence (200–300 Mya), although a large variance is to be expected from such a small total number (140–150) of sites.

The effect that rRNA secondary structure has on phylogenetic inference is not well understood. It has been suggested that nucleotide positions in stem regions are under strong selection to maintain basepairing and that therefore any substitutions causing a mismatch will lead to a compensatory substitution reestablishing the basepairing (Brown 1985; Hixson and Brown 1986). Although covariation of paired differences in some 5S rRNAs suggests that compensatory changes do occur (Wheeler and Honeycutt 1988), it is unclear what proportion are involved and how this can be accounted for in a phylogenetic analysis. Wheeler and Honeycutt (1988) recommended either weighting paired sites as one-half or deleting them entirely from the analysis. The first recommendation implies that all changes in stem regions result in compensatory substitutions, something that has not been demonstrated. Many stem regions contain bulges of one or more mismatches that increase or decrease in size over time (Hassouna et al. 1984; Michel and Westhof 1990), indicating that a signif-

			12	2S rRN	A		Cytochrome b							
	Am	Cr	Le	Xb	Xh	Xv	Xr	Am	Cr	Le	Xb	Xh	Xv	Xr
Am		49	70	55	55	55	53		40	41	42	44	41	39
Cr	61		48	44	42	40	40	38		34	40	37	44	41
Le	63	55		48	48	48	45	45	44		40	38	. 40	47
Xb	57	57	38		30	29	28	38	26	37		44	42	42
Xh	56	57	42	18		22	23	33	29	37	15		45	42
Xv	57	55	39	11	11		11	38	29	36	11	15		33
Xr	55	51	37	9	11	4		37	28	31	14	14	7	

Table 1				
Number of Transitions (	(above diagonal) and	l Number Tran	sversions (below	diagonal)

NOTE.—Am = Ameiva; Cr = Cricosaura; Le = Lepidophyma; Xb = Xantusia bolsonae; Xv = X. vigilis; and Xr = X. riversiana.

icant proportion of mismatched differences are tolerated. The second recommendation—i.e., to delete paired sites—was based on Wheeler and Honeycutt's finding, in an analysis of some 5.85 rRNA data, that such sites resulted in a "misleading" phylogeny. However, their "known" phylogeny was one based on morphology (possibly incorrect), the number of sites involved was very small, no statistics (e.g., see Felsenstein 1985) were used to assess the significance of the groups, and no explanation was given as to why paired sites should provide misleading information. Moreover, two subsequent studies using larger data sets (Smith 1989; Hedges et al. 1990) have not supported that finding. Other factors to be considered are that minor sequence differences can yield different secondary structures, the proximity of complementary regions during rRNA synthesis may influence folding patterns (Mindell and Honeycutt 1990), and sites that are basepaired in some taxa may not be paired in other taxa. The last factor will necessarily complicate any character(=site)-weighting scheme.

Until now, only secondary structure has been considered, but recent studies of group I catalytic introns have demonstrated that there is significant covariation between distant sites related only by tertiary structure (Michel and Westhof 1990) and that such higher-order folding can be affected by ionic composition (Celander and Cech 1991). The complex tertiary structures of some 5S rRNAs recently have been modeled at atomic resolution (Westhof et al. 1989), but no such models exist for the larger rRNA molecules. Although single-stranded (loop) regions tend to be more variable, some have conserved sites known to be involved in tertiary interactions and, in other cases, in protein binding (Westhof et al. 1989). Atomic and molecular interactions within the ribosome place constraints on the kinds and rates of rRNA nucleotide substitution, but our present knowledge of these constraints is insufficient to make adjustments in methods of phylogenetic analysis. Therefore we believe that a scheme using equal weighting is currently the best approach.

## Phylogeny

DNA sequences from these two mitochondrial genes are highly concordant in their conveyance of evolutionary relationships (fig. 5). The slightly lower levels of support for nodes in the cytochrome b trees are likely the result of saturation at many synonymous sites, increasing the "noise" level (note, in figs. 2 and 3, sites with three or four different bases present). For this reason, the phylogenetic information present

in the cytochrome b data set is more useful for close relationships (e.g., Xantusia vigilis and X. riversiana), whereas the 12S rRNA data set is more informative for the older branches of xantusiid phylogeny (*Cricosaura* and *Lepidophyma*). This is reflected in the confidence limits on the 12S rRNA and cytochrome b trees (fig. 5). Combined, the differential resolution of the two data sets complement one another and result in a highly resolved phylogeny (fig. 5, bottom).

These results offer a different view of xantusiid phylogeny and biogeography than has been obtained from recent analyses of morphology. Cricosaura typica is shown here to be the oldest separate lineage (first to diverge), whereas a recent morphological analysis by Crother et al. (1986) united it with Lepidophyma. In that study, however, only two informative characters supported a Cricosaura + Lepidophyma grouping, and the large amount of morphological change along those two lineages (Cricosaura and *Lepidophyma*) resembles a model (Felsenstein 1978, 1988) whereby parsimony methods fail because of the "attraction" (convergence) of long branch lengths. Moreover, a reanalysis of Crother et al.'s data (PAUP 3.0, CI 0.69) results in no statistically significant groups, which is not surprising when one considers that at least three supporting characters are needed for statistical significance (Felsenstein 1985). In the present study, 31 sites support the Lepidophyma+Xantusia grouping by the parsimony criterion (with no homoplasy), whereas only 13 sites support a Lepidophyma+Cricosaura grouping and only four sites support a Xantusia+Cricosaura grouping. Variation in scale-surface microstructure has been useful in defining both the genus Lepidophyma and groups within that genus but has not provided information on intergeneric relationships (Peterson and Bezy 1985; Bezy and Peterson 1988). Our findings for *Cricosaura* are in agreement both with earlier phenetic interpretations of the morphological data (Savage 1963; Schatzinger 1980) and with recent chromosomal evidence. Cricosaura typica has a karyotype (2N = 24) consisting of 12 macrochromosomes (Hass and Hedges, accepted), which is considered to be primitive compared with the 16-18 macrochromosomes found in *Lepidophyma* and *Xantusia* (Bezy 1972).

The DNA sequence data also provide a new view of phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Xantusia*. They indicate that X. riversiana is the sister species of X. vigilis, whereas the available interpretations of morphological data place it as the sister species of all other Xantusia (Savage 1963; Schatzinger 1980; Crother et al. 1986). The position of X. riversiana in the sequence phylogeny (fig. 5) corresponds with that in a phenetic analysis of allozyme data (Bezy and Sites 1987, fig. 1)—but it does not correspond with a parsimony analysis of the same allozyme data, which places it as the sister species of X. henshawi (Bezy and Sites 1987, fig. 3). It should be noted that the X. riversiana–X. vigilis node in the present study is supported by high confidence limits in all trees. Both the sequence and the allozyme data indicate that X. riversiana was derived from within Xantusia and should not be considered as a separate genus (Klauberina; Savage 1957, 1963).

The sequence data also agree with the allozyme data in indicating that X. bolsonae and X. henshawi are not closest relatives and thus should not be considered conspecific (Bezy and Sites 1987). However, the allozyme and sequence phylogenies differ in detail: the sequence data do not place X. bolsonae as the sister group of any other Xantusia species, whereas the allozyme data place it as the sister species of the sympatric population of X. vigilis. As this population of X. vigilis was not included in the present study, and as the position of X. bolsonae differed in some analyses, sequence data from additional individuals and populations of Xantusia will be required to fully resolve relationships within the genus. Phylogenetic Relationships/Biogeography of Xantusiids 777

### Biogeography

If the phylogenetic relationships found here are correct, they require a reevaluation of the biogeographic history of xantusiid lizards. Members of the fossil genus *Paleoxantusia* are known to have existed at least as early as the Middle Paleocene,  $\sim 60$  Ma (Estes 1976). Although the phylogenetic relationships of the members of *Paleoxantusia* remain poorly resolved, the latter apparently share derived features with *Xantusia* and *Lepidophyma* (rather than with *Cricosaura*) and are considered to be members of the Xantusiinae (Savage 1963; Schatzinger 1980). The appearance of *P. fera* in the Paleocene suggests that the divergence between *C. typica* and the other xantusiids may date at least from the late Cretaceous, an inference which is not refuted by the level of sequence divergence between *Cricosaura* and other xantusiids, as noted above.

Recent plate-tectonic reconstructions of the Caribbean region place the Greater Antilles (including Cuba) in an island arc connecting North America and South America during the late Cretaceous (Burke 1988; Ross and Scotese 1988). The breakup of this island arc may have isolated *Cricosaura* on Cuba from the remaining xantusiid stock on the mainland. Although *Cricosaura* may have occurred on other Antillean islands, the West Indian Tertiary fossil record for terrestrial vertebrates is poor, and there are no xantusiids represented (Williams 1989). If *C. typica* is a proto-Antillean relict, then it is one of the few living examples. Recent molecular evidence indicates that, with the possible exception of some eleutherodactyline frogs (Hedges 1989), most of the extant West Indian terrestrial vertebrate groups arose by dispersal from the mainland rather than by vicariance (Hedges et al., submitted).

The sequence data suggest that, after the origin of C. typica in the late Cretaceous, the mainland xantusiids diverged into a Xantusia clade and a Lepidophyma clade. This probably occurred sometime prior to the late-Eocene appearance of two species (Schatzinger 1980) of "Paleoxantusia" that share derived features with Lepidophyma (P. kyrentos) and Xantusia (P. allisoni; Estes 1983; Gauthier, in Sullivan 1982). Although the present distributions of Xantusia and Lepidophyma (fig. 1) suggest a vicariant event, the Eocene coexistence of these two lineages in western North America (Schatzinger 1980) indicates that significant changes in distribution have occurred. Details of the historical events within Xantusia and Lepidophyma are more difficult to ascertain, because of both the scant fossil record and the morphological convergence suggested by the molecular data. On the basis of morphology, the Neogene fossil species X. downsi has been interpreted as being the sister group of X. vigilis-X. henshawi (Norell 1989), but the molecular data indicate that these two species do not constitute a monophyletic group exclusive of X. riversiana.

#### Sequence Availability

The sequences shown in figure 2 have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers M65110-M65116. The sequences shown in figure 3 have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers M65117-M65123.

#### Acknowledgments

Cricosaura typica were collected during a joint expedition organized by the Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Cuba, the Universidad de La Habana, and Penn State University. The assistance of the staff of the Museo Nacional (especially Gilberto Silva), Alberto R. Estrada, and Richard Thomas is gratefully acknowledged. Ken Johnson provided some enzymes; Stephen Schaeffer and Thomas Whittam provided

some computer programs; Andrew Ellington, Carla A. Hass, David Stanton, and John W. Wright offered helpful suggestions or comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (BSR-8906325 to S.B.H. and BSR-8918926 to L.R.M. and S.B.H.).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ANDERSON, S., A. T. BANKIER, B. G. BARRELL, M. H. L. DEBRUIJN, A. R. COULSON, J. DROUIN, I. C. EPERON, D. P. NIERLICH, B. A. ROE, F. SANGER, P. H. SCHREIER, A. J. H. SMITH, R. STADEN, and I. G. YOUNG. 1981. Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. Nature 290:457–465.
- ANDERSON, S., M. H. L. DE BRUIJN, A. R. COULSON, I. C. EPERON, F. SANGER, and I. G. YOUNG. 1982. Complete sequence of bovine mitochondrial DNA: conserved features of the mammalian mitochondrial genome. J. Mol. Biol. 156:683-717.
- BEZY, R. L. 1972. Karyotypic variation and evolution of the lizards in the family Xantusiidae. Contrib. Sci. Nat. Hist. Museum Los Angeles County 227:1–29.
- BEZY, R. L., and J. A. PETERSON. 1988. The microstructure of scale surfaces in the xantusiid lizard genus *Lepidophyma*. Herpetologica **44**:281–289.
- BEZY, R. L., and J. W. SITES, JR. 1987. A preliminary study of allozyme evolution in the lizard family Xantusiidae. Herpetologica 43:280-292.
- BIBB, M. J., R. A. VAN ETTEN, C. T. WRIGHT, M. W. WALBERG, and D. A. CLAYTON. 1981. Sequence and gene organization of mouse mitochondrial DNA. Cell 26:167-180.

BROWN, W. M. 1985. The mitochondrial genome of animals. Pp. 95-130 in R. J. MACINTYRE, ed. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Plenum, New York.

BROWN, W. M., E. M. PRAGER, A. WANG, and A. C. WILSON. 1982. Mitochondrial DNA sequences of primates: tempo and mode of evolution. J. Mol. Evol. 18:225-239.

BURKE, K. 1988. Tectonic evolution of the Caribbean. Annu. Rev. Earth Planetary Sci. 16: 201-230.

CABOT, E. L., and A. T. BECKENBACH. 1989. Simultaneous editing of multiple nucleic acid and protein sequences with ESEE. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 5:233-234.

- CROTHER, B. I., M. M. MIYAMOTO, and W. F. PRESCH. 1986. Phylogeny and biogeography of the lizard family Xantusiidae. Syst. Zool. 35:37–45.
- DESALLE, R., T. FREEDMAN, E. M. PRAGER, and A. C. WILSON. 1987. Tempo and mode of sequence evolution in mitochondrial DNA of Hawaiian *Drosophila*. J. Mol. Evol. 26:157-164.

ESTES, R. 1976. Middle Paleocene lower vertebrates from the Tongue River Formation, southeastern Montana. J. Paleontol. **50**:500–520.

-----. 1983. The fossil record and early distribution of lizards. Pp. 365–398 in A. R. RHODIN and K. MIYATA, eds. Advances in herpetology and evolutionary biology: essays in honor of Ernest E. Williams. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.

ESTES, R., K. DE QUEIROZ, and J. GAUTHIER. 1988. Phylogenetic relationships within Squamata. Pp. 119-281 *in* R. ESTES and G. K. PREGILL, eds. Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families. Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif.

FELSENSTEIN, J. 1978. Cases in which parsimony or compatibility methods will be positively misleading. Syst. Zool. 27:401-410.

. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution **39**:783-791.

——. 1988. Phylogenies from molecular sequences: inference and reliability. Annu. Rev. Genet. 22:521–565.

GYLLENSTEN, U. B., and H. A. ERLICH. 1988. Generation of single-stranded DNA by the

CELANDER, D. W., and T. R. CECH. 1991. Visualizing the higher order folding of a catalytic RNA molecule. Science 251:401-407.

polymerase chain reaction and its implications to direct sequencing of the HLA DQ-alpha locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:7652-7656.

- HASS, C. A., and S. B. HEDGES. Karyotype of the Cuban lizard *Cricosaura typica* and its implications for xantusiid phylogeny. Copeia (accepted).
- HASSOUNA, N., B. MICHOT, and J. P. BACHELLERIE. 1984. The complete nucleotide sequence of mouse 28S rRNA gene: implications for the process of size increase of the large subunit rRNA in higher eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:3563-3583.
- HEDGES, S. B. 1989. Evolution and biogeography of West Indian frogs of the genus *Eleuther-odactylus:* slow-evolving loci and the major groups. Pp. 305-370 in C. A. WOODS, ed. Biogeography of the West Indies: past, present and future. Sandhill Crane, Gainesville, Fla.
- HEDGES, S. B., C. A. HASS, and L. R. MAXSON. Cretaceous bolide impacts Caribbean biogeography. (submitted).
- HEDGES, S. B., K. D. MOBERG, and L. R. MAXSON. 1990. Tetrapod phylogeny inferred from 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA sequences and a review of the evidence for amniote relationships. Mol. Biol. Evol. 7:607-633.
- HIXSON, J. E., and W. M. BROWN. 1986. A comparison of the small ribosomal RNA genes from the mitochondrial DNA of the great apes and humans: sequence divergence, structure, evolution, and phylogenetic implications. Mol. Biol. Evol. 3:1-18.
- HOWELL, N. 1989. Evolutionary conservation of protein regions in the protonmotive cytochrome b and their possible roles in redox catalysis. J. Mol. Evol. 29:157-169.
- HOWELL, N., and K. GILBERT. 1988. Mutational analysis of the mouse mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. J. Mol. Biol. 203:607-617.
- JUKES, T. H., and C. R. CANTOR. 1969. Evolution of protein molecules. Pp. 21-132 in H. N. MUNROE, ed. Mammalian protein metabolism. Academic Press, New York.
- KOCHER, T. D., W. K. THOMAS, A. MEYER, S. V. EDWARDS, S. PÄÄBO, F. X. VILLABLANCA, and A. C. WILSON. 1989. Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:6196-6200.
- MARTIN, A. P., B. D. KESSING, and S. R. PALUMBI. 1990. Accuracy of estimating genetic distance between species from short sequences of mitochondrial DNA. Mol. Biol. Evol. 7: 485-488.
- MEYER, A., T. D. KOCHER, P. BASASIBWAKI, and A. C. WILSON. 1990. Monophyletic origin of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes suggested by mitochondrial DNA sequences. Nature 347: 550-553.
- MEYER, A., and A. C. WILSON. 1990. Origin of tetrapods inferred from their mitochondrial DNA affiliation to lungfish. J. Mol. Evol. 31:359-364.
- MICHEL, F., and E. WESTHOF. 1990. Modelling of the three-dimensional architecture of Group I catalytic introns based on comparative sequence analysis. J. Mol. Biol. 216:585-610.
- MINDELL, D. P., and R. L. HONEYCUTT. 1990. Ribosomal RNA in vertebrates: evolution and phylogenetic implications. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 21:541-566.
- MULLIS, K. B., F. FALOONA, S. SCHARF, S. SAIKI, R. HORN, G. ERLICH, and H. ERLICH. 1986. Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: the polymerase chain reaction. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 51:263–273.
- NORELL, M. A. 1989. Late Cenozoic lizards of the Anza Borrego desert, California. Contrib. Sci. Nat. Hist. Museum Los Angeles County 414:1-31.
- OCHMAN, H., and A. C. WILSON. 1987. Evolution in bacteria: evidence for a universal substitution rate in cellular genomes. J. Mol. Evol. 26:74–86.
- PETERSON, J. A., and R. L. BEZY. 1985. The microstructure and evolution of scale surfaces in xantusiid lizards. Herpetologica 41:298-324.
- PRESCH, W. 1988. Phylogenetic relationships of the Scincomorpha. Pp. 471–492 in R. ESTES and G. K. PREGILL, eds. Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families. Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif.
- Ross, M. I., and C. R. SCOTESE. 1988. A hierarchical tectonic model of the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean region. Tectonophysics 155:139-168.

- SAIKI, R. K., D. H. GELFAND, S. STOFFEL, S. J. SCHARF, R. HIGUCHI, G. T. HORN, K. B. MULLIS, and H. A. ERLICH. 1988. Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. Science 239:487–491.
- SAITOU, N., and M. NEI. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406-425.
- SANGER, F., S. NICKLEN, and A. R. COULSON. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- SAVAGE, J. M. 1957. Studies on the lizard family Xantusiidae. III. A new genus for Xantusia riversiana Cope, 1883. Zoologica (New York) 42:83–86.
- ——. 1963. Studies on the lizard family Xantusiidae. IV. The genera. Contrib. Sci. Los Angeles County Museum 71:1–38.
- SCHATZINGER, R. A. 1980. New species of *Paleoxantusia* (Reptilia: Sauria) from the Uintan (Eocene) of San Diego Co., California. J. Paleontol. 54:460–471.
- SCHWENK, K. 1988. Comparative morphology of the lepidosaur tongue and its relevance to squamate phylogeny. Pp. 569–598 in R. ESTES and G. K. PREGILL, eds. Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families. Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif.

SMITH, A. B. 1989. RNA sequence data in phylogenetic reconstruction: testing the limits of resolution. Cladistics 5:321-344.

SMITH, M. F., and J. L. PATTON. 1991. Variation in mitochondrial cytochrome b sequence in natural populations of South American akodontine rodents (Muridae: Sigmodontinae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 8:85-103.

SOKAL, R. R. 1985. The continuing search for order. Am. Nat. 126:729-749.

- STUDIER, J. A., and K. J. KEPPLER. 1988. A note on the neighbor-joining algorithm of Saitou and Nei. Mol. Biol. Evol. 5:729-731.
- SULLIVAN, R. M. 1982. Fossil lizards from Swain Quarry "Fort Union Formation," Middle Paleocene (Torrejonian), Carbon County, Wyoming. J. Paleontol. 56:996-1010.
- THOMAS, R. H., W. SCHAFFNER, A. C. WILSON, and S. PÄÄBO. 1989. DNA phylogeny of the extinct marsupial wolf. Nature 340:465–467.
- THOMAS, W. K., S. PÄÄBO, F. X. VILLABLANCA, and A. C. WILSON. 1990. Spatial and temporal continuity of kangaroo rat populations shown by sequencing mitochondrial DNA from museum specimens. J. Mol. Evol. 31:101-112.
- WESTHOF, E., P. ROMBY, P. J. ROMANIUK, J.-P. EBEL, C. EHRESMANN, and B. EHRESMANN. 1989. Computer modeling from solution data of spinach chloroplast and of *Xenopus laevis* somatic and oocyte 5S rRNAs. J. Mol. Biol. 207:417-431.
- WHEELER, W. C., and R. L. HONEYCUTT. 1988. Paired sequence divergence in ribosomal RNAs: evolutionary and phylogenetic implications. Mol. Biol. Evol. 5:90–96.
- WILLIAMS, E. E. 1989. Old problems and new opportunities in West Indian biogeography. Pp. 1-46 in C. A. WOODS, ed. Biogeography of the West Indies: past, present and future. Sandhill Crane, Gainesville, Fla.
- WILSON, A. C., R. L. CANN, S. M. CARR, M. GEORGE, U. B. GYLLENSTEN, K. M. HELM-BYCHOWSKI, R. G. HIGUCHI, S. R. PALUMBI, E. M. PRAGER, R. D. SAGE, and M. STONEKING. 1985. Mitochondrial DNA and two perspectives on evolutionary genetics. Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 26:375-400.

WESLEY M. BROWN, reviewing editor

Received November 5, 1990; revision received April 18, 1991

Accepted May 1, 1991